Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/551,159	TANAKA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John J. Zimmerman	1794		

	John J. Zimmerman	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places I application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR (1); or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date to have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ste set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);		
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 			le issues ioi	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		.,,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
The Arthur Country of the Property of the Arthur Country of the Property of the Arthur Country of the Art				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allower	ce because:	
See Continuation Sheet.		condition for alloward	oo booddoo.	
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I Other: PTO-1449 of paper No. 20080929 and form PTO- 				

/John J. Zimmerman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 Continuation of 11. does not place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's request for reconsideration has been carefully considered. In the response, applicant presents data from experiments conducted under the conditions of JP 730 to show that the applicant's product is patentably distinct. The data and attachment from applicant's response should be presented in proper 132 declaration form so that it may be considered fully in determining the relevance of the reference.